REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE PENDLETON COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2008 TAXES

For The Period April 18, 2008 Through April 9, 2009



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE PENDLETON COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2008 TAXES

For The Period April 18, 2008 Through April 9, 2009

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2008 Taxes for the Pendleton County Sheriff for the period April 18, 2008 through April 9, 2009. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$6,412,515 for the districts for 2008 taxes, retaining commissions of \$226,753 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$6,180,529 to the districts for 2008 taxes. Refunds of \$1,721 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits as of November 6, 2008 were exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

• Uncollateralized and Uninsured \$857,907

The Sheriff's deposits were covered by FDIC insurance and a properly executed collateral security agreement, but the bank did not adequately collateralize the Sheriff's deposits in accordance with the security agreement.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2008 TAXES	3
Notes To Financial Statement	5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS)
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	13



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Jonathan Miller, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Henry Bertram, Pendleton County Judge/Executive
Honorable Charles Peoples, Pendleton County Sheriff
Members of the Pendleton County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Pendleton County Sheriff's Settlement - 2008 Taxes for the period April 18, 2008 through April 9, 2009. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Pendleton County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.


We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement in accordance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Pendleton County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period April 18, 2008 through April 9, 2009, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated July 9, 2009 on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.





To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Jonathan Miller, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Henry Bertram, Pendleton County Judge/Executive
Honorable Charles Peoples, Pendleton County Sheriff
Members of the Pendleton County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

July 9, 2009

PENDLETON COUNTY CHARLES PEOPLES, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2008 TAXES

For The Period April 18, 2008 Through April 9, 2009

Special

		Special						
<u>Charges</u>	Cou	nty Taxes	Tax	ing Districts	Sc	hool Taxes	Sta	ite Taxes
Real Estate	\$	789,771	\$	1,105,797	\$	2,727,828	\$	633,895
Tangible Personal Property	·	56,100	•	123,237		186,161	·	240,915
Increases Through Exonerations		70		85		243		56
Franchise Taxes		109,259		178,702		368,290		
Additional Billings		259		310		898		219
Limestone, Sand and								
Mineral Reserves		4,678		7,904		16,158		3,755
Bank Franchises		27,378						
Penalties		5,979		8,134		20,618		4,762
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		(12)		(3)		(24)		(15)
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff		993,482		1,424,166		3,320,172		883,587
Credits								
Exonerations		2,077		2,750		7,175		1,667
Discounts		13,536		19,472		44,680		13,936
Delinquents:								
Real Estate		14,320		20,537		49,460		11,494
Tangible Personal Property		129		244		436		364
Franchise Taxes		842		2,211		2,804		
Uncollected Additional Bills		118		135		407		98
Total Credits		31,022		45,349	-	104,962		27,559
Taxes Collected		962,460		1,378,817		3,215,210		856,028
Less: Commissions *		41,192		52,436		96,456		36,669
Taxes Due		921,268		1,326,381		3,118,754		819,359
Taxes Paid		920,307		1,325,803		3,115,877		818,542
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		1,077		1,245		3,730		902
		, .		**		, -		
Refunds Due Sheriff	\$	(116)	\$	(667)	\$	(853)	\$	(85)

^{*} and ** See Next Page.

PENDLETON COUNTY CHARLES PEOPLES, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2008 TAXES For The Period April 18, 2008 Through April 9, 2009 (Continued)

* Commissions:

00
57
10
48

** Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$ (39)
Health District	(39)
Extension District	(33)
Mental Health District	(26)
North Pendleton Fire District	(307)
Kenton Fire District	 (223)
Refunds Due Sheriff	\$ (667)

PENDLETON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

April 9, 2009

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Pendleton County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

PENDLETON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT April 9, 2009 (Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of April 9, 2009, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement. However, as of November 6, 2008, public funds were exposed to custodial credit risk because the bank did not adequately collateralize the Sheriff's deposits in accordance with the security agreement.

• Uncollateralized and Uninsured \$857,907

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2008. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2009. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was September 29, 2008 through April 9, 2009.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Pendleton County Sheriff earned \$5,209 as interest income on 2008 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of July 9, 2009, the School owes the tax account \$418 in interest overpayments and \$601 in interest is due to his fee account.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Pendleton County Sheriff collected \$31,585 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 6. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Pendleton County Sheriff collected \$400 of advertising costs and \$50 of advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The Sheriff distributed the advertising costs to the county as required by statute, and the advertising fees were used to operate the Sheriff's office.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



The Honorable Henry Bertram, Pendleton County Judge/Executive Honorable Charles Peoples, Pendleton County Sheriff Members of the Pendleton County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Pendleton County Sheriff's Settlement - 2008 Taxes for the period April 18, 2008 through April 9, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated July 9, 2009. The Sheriff prepares his financial statement in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.


Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Pendleton County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Pendleton County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Pendleton County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with the modified cash basis of accounting such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control over financial reporting. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements





Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statement will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we do not believe that the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Pendleton County Sheriff's Settlement - 2008 Taxes for the period April 18, 2008 through April 9, 2009, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Pendleton County Fiscal Court, and the Department for Local Government and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

July 9, 2009



PENDLETON COUNTY CHARLES PEOPLES, SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Period April 18, 2008 Through April 9, 2009

INTERNAL CONTROL - SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY:

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements

The Sheriff's office has a lack of adequate segregation of duties over receipts and disbursements. Most employees of the Sheriff's office collect tax payments. The Sheriff prepares the daily bank deposit, reconciles daily receipts to the daily collection report, and posts items to the receipts ledger. The Sheriff prepares the monthly bank reconciliation that is agreed to the receipts and disbursements ledgers. The Sheriff also prepares checks for tax distribution, posts to the disbursements ledger, and signs the tax distribution checks. Good internal controls dictate that the same employee should not handle, record, and reconcile receipts and disbursements. We recommend that the Sheriff segregate some of these duties in order to strengthen internal controls.

Sheriff's Response: No response.